# Feedback on Curriculum by Student (1 Semester 2022-23)

Total participants: 292   Date: 01/08/2023

The structure of the syllabi is systematic

Data Interpretation

With regard to **the structure of the syllabi is systematic**, 12% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent, 34% and 49% strongly agreed that it was Very Good and Good respectively, whereas 5% agreed that it was average.

The Sequence of the course (subjects) in the curriculum

Data interpretation

Regarding **the sequence of the course (subjects) in the curriculum**, 10% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent. 32% and 53% strongly agreed that it was Very Good and Good respectively, whereas 5% felt that it was average.

Clarity of the course objectives

0%
10% 12%
45% 33%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Clarity the course objective**, 12% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent, 33% agreed that it was Very good, 45% felt that it was Good whereas 10% agreed that it was Average.

Size of the syllabus in terms of the load on the students

0%
12% 8%
57% 23%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **size of the syllabus in term of the load on the students**, 8% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent. 23% felt that it was Very Good, 57% agreed that it was good whereas 8% felt it was Average.

Syllabus covered in the class

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Syllabus Covered in Class**, 23% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent, 33% and 39% felt that it was Very Good and Good respectively whereas 5% felt that it was Average.

Depth of the curriculum

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Depth of the curriculum,** 8% of the responses felt that it was Excellent. 27% and 55% were strongly agreeing that it was Very Good and good respectively whereas 9% felt it was average and 1% felt it was Poor.

Availability of the study materials in the library

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Availability of the study materials in the library**, 9%of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent. 28% and 43% were of the opinion that it was Very Good and Good Respectively and 9% felt it was average whereas 4% felt that it was Poor.

Level of course fulfilling your learning needs

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Level of course fulfilling your learning needs**, 11% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent. 32% and 48% strongly felt that it was Very Good and Good respectively whereas, 9% felt it was

Effect of internal assessment on the course

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

0%
9%
6%
34%
51%

Data interpretation

Regarding the **effect of internal assessment on the course**, 6% of the responses felt that it was Excellent, 34% and 51 % strongly felt that it was Very Good and Good whereas 9% felt that it was Average.

Relevance of the course for providing employability

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

0%
10%
7%
33%
50%

Data interpretation

Regarding the Relevance of the course for providing employability, 7% of the responses were of opinion that it was Excellent, 33% and 50% strongly felt that it was Very Good and Good whereas 10% felt that it was Average.

Feedback on Curriculum by Student (3rd Semester 2022-23)

Total participants: 238   Date: 01/08/2023

The structure of the syllabi is systematic

0%
5% 13%
45%
37%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data Interpretation

From the above chart we that 13% of the students strongly agree that the structure of the syllabi was very systematic while 37% of the students agree that it was helpful. The rest of the student has a light decision on the structure of the syllabi

The Sequence of the course (subjects) in the curriculum

1%
5% 11%
53%
30%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data Interpretation

From the above Chart we know 53% students have agree that there are satisfied with the sequences of course offered by the college while the 11% strongly agreed with the sequences of the course. The rest 1% of the students are not satisfied with the sequence of the course.

Clarity of the course objectives

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

Data Interpretation

From the above Chart, we know that 20% of the students was strongly agree with the clarity o f the course offered. 44% of the students are satisfied with the Course while 1% of the students are not satisfied with the course offer.

Size of the syllabus in terms of the load on the students

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

Data Interpretation

From the above chart we know that 30% of the students are strongly agree on the size of the syllabus in the term of the load on the students. 53% of the Students have average view on the size of the syllabus, while 1% of the students are not satisfied with the size of the syllabus.

Syllabus covered in the class

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

0%
7%
20%
30%
43%

Date Interpretation

From the above chart we know that, 30% of the students have strongly agreed with the syllabus cover in the class. 43% of the students are satisfied with the syllabus cover; while 7% have a light opinion on the syllabus cover in class.

Depth of the curriculum

■	Excellent
■	Very Good
■	Good
■	Average
■	Poor

0%
7%
14%
29%
50%

Data Interpretation

From the above chart we come to know that, 14% of the students have agreed with the depth of the curriculum provided by the college. 29 % of the students have strongly agreed with the depth of curriculum. 7% of the students have a light opinion on the depth of the curriculum.

Availability of the study materials in the library

3%

11%

11%

22%

11%

53%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data Interpretation

From the above chart, we understand that 53% students have agreed that there is an availability of study material in the college library. While 22% have strongly agreed that there is high availability of study materials. Both 11% students have average opinion on the study material in the library.

Level of course fulfilling your learning needs

0%

7%

11%

32%

50%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data interpretation

From the above chart we have understand that 50% students are satisfied with the level of course fulfilling your learning needs and 32% are very satisfied with the level of course fulfilling your learning needs with 7% students having a light view on the level of course fulfilling your learning needs.

Effect of internal assessment on the course

Data interpretation
From the above chart, we understand that 51% students are satisfied with the effect of internet assessment on the course and 28%are very satisfied with the effect of internet assessment on the course with 1% are not satisfied with the effect of internet assessment on the course.

## Relevance of the course for providing employability

Data interpretation
With the above chart, we understand that 49% students are satisfied with the relevance of the course for providing employability and 26% are very satisfied with the relevance of the course for providing employability with 1% are not satisfied with the relevance of the course for providing employability.

Feedback on Curriculum by Student (5th Semester 2022-23)

Total Participants: 137   Date: 01/08/2023

The structure of the syllabi is systematic

1%   0%

29%

36%

34%

- ■ Excellent
- ■ Very Good
- ■ Good
- ■ Average
- ■ Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding **the Structure of the syllabi is systematic**, 29% of the response were of the opinion that it was Excellent, 34% agreed that it was Very Good and 36% felt that it was Good. 29% were of the opinion that it was Average.

The Sequence of the course (subjects) in the curriculum

1%   0%

26%

35%

38%

- ■ Excellent
- ■ Very Good
- ■ Good
- ■ Average
- ■ Poor

Data Interpretation

With respect to the Sequence of the course (subjects) in the curriculum, 26 % were

Clarity of the course objectives

3% 0%

31%

31%

35%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Clarity of the course objectives**, 31 % agreed that it was Excellent, 35% agreed that it was Very Good and 31 % were of the opinion that it was Good whereas 3 % felt that it was Average.

Size of the syllabus in terms of the load on the students

1% 0%

23%

39%

37%

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Data interpretation

With regard to the **size of the syllabus in terms of the load on the students**, 23% of the responses were of the opinion that it was Excellent and that 37% and 39% were of the opinion that it was Very Good and Good respectively whereas 1% felt that it was Average.

Syllabus covered in the class

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

1% 0%
29%
35%
35%

Data interpretation

With regard to the **syllabus covered in the class**, 35% were of the opinion that it was Excellent, 35% agreed that it was very Good and 29 % were of whereas 1 % felt it was Average.

Depth of the curriculum

Excellent
Very Good
Good
Average
Poor

1% 0%
25%
38%
36%

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Depth of the curriculum**, 25% of the respondent agreed that it was Excellent, 36% were agreeing that it was Very Good, 38% agreed that it was good whereas 1% felt that it was Average.

Availability of the study materials in the library

Legend:
- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Values: 1%, 6%, 24%, 42%, 27%

Data interpretation

Regarding the **availability of the study materials in the library,** 24% were of the opinion that it was Excellent, 27 % agreed that it was Very Good and 42% agreed that it was Good. 6% felt it was average whereas 1% felt it was Poor.

Level of course fulfilling your learning needs

Legend:
- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

Values: 2%, 0%, 24%, 39%, 35%

Data interpretation

Regarding the **Level of course fulfilling your learning needs**, 24% were of the opinion that it was Excellent. 35% agreed that it was Very Good, 39% agreed that it was good whereas 2% were of the opinion that it was Poor.

Effect of internal assessment on the course

Legend: Excellent, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor

3% 0%
24%
36%
37%

Data interpretation

Regarding the **effect of internal assessment on the course**, 24% were of the opinion that it was Excellent. 37% agreed that it was Very Good, 36% agreed that it was Good whereas 3% felt that it was Average.

Relevance of the course for providing employability

Legend: Excellent, Very Good, Good, Average, Poor

0%
5%
22%
40%
33%

Data interpretation

With regard to the **Relevance of the course for providing employability**, 22% agreed to the opinion that it was Excellent. 33% agreed that it was Very Good and 40 % agreed that it was good whereas 5% felt that it was Average.